

RESEARCH

Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography services can be accessible and of a high standard in a district general hospital

Vikramjit Mitra,¹ Harriet Mitchison,² David Nylander²

► Additional materials are published online only. To view the files please visit the journal online (http://fg.bmj.com/ content/3/3.toc).

¹Specialist Registrar in Gastroenterology, Sunderland Royal Hospital, UK ²Consultant Gastroenterologist, Sunderland Royal Hospital, UK

Correspondence to

Vikramjit Mitra, Specialist Registrar in Gastroenterology, Sunderland Royal Hospital, SR4 7TP, UK; mitravikram@aol.com

Received 6 November 2011 Accepted 19 March 2012 Published Online First 27 April 2012

Abstract

Background Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) is an important tool in the management of pancreato-biliary disease. **Objective** To compare the current practice of ERCP in a district general hospital with those reported in the 2007 British Society of Gastroenterology (BSG) ERCP audit and assess access to the service. **Design** This was a service evaluation study. Data were collected retrospectively

for all people who underwent ERCP. Demographic, clinical and procedure related data were collected and analysed. **Setting** Sunderland Hospital.

Results 236 patients (median age 70 years, 56% women) underwent ERCP. The median period from referral to patient review was 1.0 day. The median period from the decision to carry out an ERCP to the actual procedure date was 3 days. All patients had radiological imaging before their first procedure. 96% patients had their bloods checked within 1 week of the procedure. The most common indication was related to choledocholithiasis and its complications. The mean doses of midazolam and diazemul used were 4.4 mg and 11.1 mg, respectively. The selective biliary cannulation rate was 92%. Sphincterotomy, biliary stent insertion and complete stone extraction were achieved in 94%, 85% and 88% of patients before the procedure. Complications that occurred as a result of ERCPs were as follows: bleeding (1.7%), pancreatitis (3.8%), cholangitis (0.4%) and renal failure (0.4%). The 30-day death rate was 4.6%. However, none of these were procedure related. Conclusions The structure of the ERCP services at Sunderland Royal Hospital provides patients with a high-quality and accessible service. The technical success rate and sedation rate were better than those reported in the BSG ERCP

audit. The complication rate and procedurerelated mortality were comparable to the BSG audit and much below the published figures.

Introduction

Endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography (ERCP) is an important diagnostic and therapeutic tool in the management of pancreatico-biliary disease. ERCP is diagnostically and therapeutically useful in the management of choledocholithiasis, pancreatico-biliary malignancies and benign diseases of the pancreas.

However, the procedure carries a significant risk of complications, varying between 4% and 30%.1-5 Because of the challenging nature of the procedure and a comparatively high rate of associated complications, various national endoscopic societies have developed recommendations for the minimum standards for training and accreditation. In 2002, the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy recommended that the success rate in required duct cannulation and/or basic treatment should be a minimum of 80% for attainment of competence.⁶ In 2004, the Joint Advisory Group on Gastrointestinal Endoscopy recommended that trainees should aspire to a cannulation rate of 90% but did not specify an absolute mandatory cannulation and basic treatment rate or a minimum number of procedures that should be performed before achieving competence.7 It is also expected that accredited ERCP endoscopists perform a minimum number of procedures a year to maintain competence and expertise.

Unlike other endoscopic procedures, the estimated national workload of ERCP has remained remarkably stable over the past decade. This was reflected in the British Society of Gastroenterology (BSG) national document⁸ in 2004, which showed that the estimated national average of 48 000 ERCPs each year was comparable to the number reported by a BSG ERCP survey carried out in 1998.⁸

Because of this relatively low volume, there exists a tension between maintaining expertise and the provision of a readily accessible and timely service for patients requiring this procedure.

Aims and methods

Sunderland Royal Hospital is a large district general hospital in northeast England. It serves a population of 330 000. There are two ERCP endoscopists in this hospital who perform a total of between 225 and 250 ERCPs each year. They are also designated ERCP regional trainers. Between them, they carry out two ERCP lists a week, providing cross-cover for all leave. They are both established and have a lifetime ERCP experience of over 2000 procedures. We carried out a retrospective audit of the ERCP practice in our hospital between 1 January 2009 and 31 December 2009. The main purposes of this audit were:

- To assess adherence to published guidelines with respect to technical success rate, complication rate and procedurerelated mortality and to compare these rates with those reported in the national audit.⁶
- To assess access to the service for those patients requiring the procedure. This was done by assessing waiting times before a review of patients and before the procedure.

Approval for this audit was obtained from the trust audit committee. An audit proforma was designed by the ERCP endoscopists in collaboration with the clinical governance department. Data were collected by a trainee gastroenterologist (VM) using patients' clinical case notes, endoscopy reports, the international Olympus ERCP database, the hospital information support system, nursing records of procedures stored in the endoscopy department and the ERCP diary kept by the gastroenterology secretaries. Data were then transferred from the audit proforma to the Excel spreadsheet for subsequent analysis. The 't' test was used to analyse continuous data and Fisher's exact test was used to analyse categorical data.

Results

The service in Sunderland is structured as two lists a week. If they fit the following criteria, patients have their procedure as day cases:

- patient is ambulant and self-caring;
- patient can be cared for after the procedure by a responsible adult who is able to bring them back to hospital if there are any complications.

A total of 236 ERCPs were carried out during the study period with the procedures being equally shared between the two ERCP endoscopists (118 ± 1) . Eighty-

five (36%) of the procedures were carried out as day-case procedures. The median age of patients was 70 years (range 19-94) and a majority (56%) of the patients were women. All patients had a documented review by the medical gastroenterology team (usually an ERCP endoscopist) to assess appropriateness for the procedure and then to provide information to the patient and obtain their consent. The median period from referral (in case of inpatients, n=145) to patient review by gastroenterology team was 1.0 day (range 0-5). The median period from the decision to carry out an ERCP to the actual procedure date in the case of inpatients (n=145) was 3 days (range 0–19). Informed consent was obtained before all procedures (with appropriate procedures for patients without capacity).

Ninety-six per cent of patients had their blood count and coagulation screen checked within 7 days of the procedure, with the majority (93.6%) being checked within 72 h. All patients had radiological imaging before their first procedure. All ERCPs were performed with therapeutic intent. Thirty-six per cent of patients received preprocedure antibiotics. There was no clear indication for this in four patients.

Preprocedure indications for ERCP were as follows:

- common bile duct (CBD) stones 64.5%;
- gallstone pancreatitis 8%;
- pancreatico-biliary malignancies 16.5%;
- possible sphincter of Oddi dysfunction 1.5%;
- postcholecystectomy bile leak 7%;
- stent change for recurrent CBD stones 1%;
- other 1.5%.

Forty-two (17.8%) procedures were repeat procedures for the following reasons:

- planned repeat for previous incomplete CBD stone clearance 26;
- occluded stent for pancreatico-biliary malignancy 11;
- previous failed CBD cannulation 5.

 Table 1
 Sedatives and analgesics used during ERCP

Drugs used during ERCP	SRH (n=number of patients)	BSG audit (n=number of patients)	p Value
Average midazolam dose (mg)	4.4 (n=112)	5.7 (n=4521)	<0.0001
>5 mg of midazolam (%)	21 (n=24)	33 (n=1484)	0.0105
Average diazemul dose (mg)	11.1 (n=118)	13.5 (n=520)	0.0005
General anaesthesia (%)	1.3 (n=3)	1.5 (n=79)	1.00
Average fentanyl dose in µg)	44.3 (n=225)	90.4 (n=1069)	<0.0001
Reversal of sedation (%)	0 (n=231)	7.6 (n=345)	<0.0001

ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography; SRH, Sunderland Royal Hospital.

PANCREATO BILIARY

Table 2 Grades of ERCP

Grades of ERCP	Diagnostic	Therapeutic		
1 – Standard	Selective deep	Biliary sphincterotomy		
	cannulation	Stones <10 mm		
		Stents for biliary leak		
	Biopsy and cytology	Stents for low tumours		
2 – Advanced	Bilroth II diagnostics	Stones >10 mm		
	Minor papilla cannulation	Hilar tumour stent placement		
3 – Tertiary	Sphincter manometry	Benign biliary strictures		
	Whipple	Bilroth II therpaeutics		
	Roux-en-Y	Intrahepatic stones		
	Intra-ductal endoscopy	Pancreatic treatments		

ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography.

Table 3 Interventions used during ERCP

ERCP intervention	Sunderland Royal Hospital	BSG audit	p Value
Deep bile duct cannulation (%)	92	86.5	0.0140
Sphincterotomy (% of intended preprocedure)	94	84	0.0004
Biliary stent insertion (% of intended preprocedure)	85	73	0.0094
Complete biliary stone extraction (% of intended preprocedure)	88	62	<0.0001
Balloon sphincteroplasty of ampulla (% of total procedures)	12.7	No data available	Not applicable
Unintended pancreatogram (% of total procedures)	3.9	27	<0.0001

ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography.

Midazolam or diazemul were used for sedation together with fentanyl. Table 1 compares our sedation figures with the BSG ERCP audit.

Other drugs used during the ERCP procedures were as follows: Buscopan in 198 patients (mean dose 30 mg), glucagon in 16 patients (mean dose 1 mg) and sublingual nitrate in 113 patients (mean dose $1.24 \mu g$).

To assess the degree of difficulty of procedures, we used Cotton's grading system (table 2).⁹ Most (66.5%) of our procedures were grade 1 ERCPs, with 31.5% grade 2 category and 2% of the procedures grade 3 category (these four cases were therapeutic procedures in patients with previous polya-gastrectomy).

Deep bile duct cannulation rates for grade 1, grade 2 and grade 3 procedures were 91%, 94.6% and 100%, respectively, and completion of intended treatment was achieved in 93%, 83% and 75%, respectively.

The frequency of therapeutic interventions performed during the ERCP procedures is given in table 3.

Table 4 ERCP related complications

Complication	SRH	BSG audit	p value
Bleeding (%)	1.7	0.9	0.0616
Pancreatitis (%)	3.8	1.5	0.0126
Cholangitis (%)	0.4	1.1	0.5197
Renal failure (%)	0.4	0.03	0.0053
Perforation (%)	0	0.5	0.6248

ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography; SRH, Sunderland Royal Hospital.

Table 5	ERCP	related	complications	in	day	case
procedure	2S					

Number of patients	Mean additional bed days as a result of hospital admission
5	2.0
2	5.5
1	4.0
1	1.0
	Number of patients 5 2 1 1

ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography.

The mean scope time and fluoroscopy time for the procedures were 24.5 min (range 4–60) and 2.8 min (range 0.1–15), respectively. A trainee was involved in 38.5% of the procedures.

Table 4 gives details of the complications of our ERCP procedures in comparison with those reported in the BSG audit.

If the complications are considered in terms of procedure difficulty, then in grade 1 procedures, 5% had post-ERCP pancreatitis and 1.9% had postsphincterotomy bleeding. The corresponding figures for grade 2 procedures were 1.3% and 1.3%, respectively, with an additional 1.3% having cholangitis. There were no complications in the grade 3 procedures.

To assess the impact of complications on bed occupation, we used data from day-case procedures (table 5)

Discussion

Our audit provides complete, comprehensive data of all the ERCPs carried out in a district general hospital setting over a 1-year period. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first such non-tertiary referral centre comprehensive study comparing the ERCP practice in a UK district general hospital with the most recent BSG ERCP audit.

The main strengths of this audit are first, that notes were reviewed by a person who was not involved in the majority of procedures and second, the case notes review is likely to have comprehensively captured all complications as even day-case patients are unlikely to have been admitted to another institution (they are given an emergency contact number when discharged). We feel that it is reasonable to compare our patient population with that reported in the BSG audit as the demographics of both populations were similar.

Several positive outcomes emerged from our study. All patients gave appropriate consent before undergoing the procedure. All patients had evidence of structural disease on radiological imaging before their first procedure (96% in the BSG audit). All ERCPs were performed with therapeutic intent (94% in the BSG audit). Most (96%) of the patients had their bloods checked within 7 days of the procedure (86% in the BSG audit). However, it is a concern that six patients did not have their coagulation checked within 7 days of the procedure. Of these six patients, two underwent sphincterotomy and one underwent balloon sphincteroplasty. However, none of them had complications. Of the patients who underwent ERCP, 8.5% belonged to the American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) grade 3-5 category compared with 12.7% in the BSG audit, which confirms appropriate patient selection for the procedures. This is in contrast to the National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome and Death report 2004, which concluded that potentially large numbers of inappropriate procedures were being performed on high-risk patients)¹⁰. Our technical success rate was better than the figures from the BSG audit. Procedure-related complications were comparable to those of the BSG audit and much below published figures.1-5

The incidence of post-ERCP pancreatitis was higher than that reported in the BSG audit. However, our figures fall well within the range reported in the international literature, which is usually from referral centres and large multicentre studies.¹¹

Overall, 30-day mortality was slightly higher than in the BSG audit (4.6% vs 3.4%). It is noted, however, that in the BSG audit, a number of endoscopists did not give permission for their data to be included. Also, patients who were considered too ill to give consent for their data to be used were excluded from that audit. Therefore, the reported complication rates and 30-day mortality probably did not accurately reflect the whole picture. There were no procedure-related deaths in our study population (eight died because of progression of underlying malignant disease and three died of pneumonia which was present before the ERCP). Twenty-seven per cent of deaths were in the ASA grade 3–5 categories compared with 33% in the BSG audit.

Our figures showed no adverse effect of procedure difficulty grade on bile duct cannulation success rates or indeed, on the incidence of complications. We accept, however, that the number of grade 3 procedures was small.

In this trust, wherever possible, we now attempt to perform as many procedures as possible as daycase procedures. This is after our previously published experience on safety¹³ (after which a discharge protocol was developed), which complies with the published literature.^{14 15} In this audit, compliance with the protocol did not lead to any compromise of patient safety since in the day-case procedures, all complications were picked up within the 6 h observation period after the procedure.

There are only two, highly experienced ERCP endoscopists in our trust. This allows them to perform significantly more than the suggested minimum number of procedures required to maintain good clinical practice.¹⁶ These factors may contribute to the good cannulation and completion rates and the relatively low complication rates. It therefore possibly supports the requirement for an annual minimum number of procedures to be performed by ERCP endoscopists.

This was a retrospective audit (in comparison with the national audit which was prospective). However, all patients who underwent ERCP in the year studied were identified and included in the data analysis.

We conclude that the structure of ERCP services at Sunderland Royal Hospital (a large district general hospital) provides patients with a high-quality and accessible service.

Contributors VM—first author conducted the whole study and wrote up the paper. HM—second author. DN—senior author and consultant. He supervised VM in carrying out the project and writing up the article

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

References

- 1. Baillie J. Complications of endoscopy. *Endoscopy* 1994;**26**:185–203.
- Freeman ML, Nelson DB, Sherman S, *et al.* Complications of endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy. *N Engl J Med* 1996;335:909–18.
- Freeman ML. Adverse outcomes of ERCP. Gastrointest Endosc 2002;56:S273–82.
- Christensen M, Matzen P, Schulze S, et al. Complications of ERCP: a prospective study. Gastrointest Endosc 2004;60:721–31.
- Masci E, Toti G, Mariani A, *et al.* Complications of diagnostic and therapeutic ERCP: a prospective multicenter study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001;96:417–23.
- American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE). Principle of training in gastrointestinal endoscopy. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* 1999;49:845–53.
- Joint Advisory Group on Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Guidelines on the training, appraisal and assessment of trainees in GI endoscopy, London; JAG, 2004. http://www.thejag.org. uk/JAG_2004.pdf (accessed 28 March 2007).
- Williams EJ, Taylor S, Fairclough P, *et al.* Are we meeting the standards set for endoscopy? Results of a large-scale prospective survey of endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatograph practice. *Gut* 2007;56:821–9.
- Cotton PB. Income and outcome metrics for the objective evaluation of ERCP and alternative methods. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56:S283–90.

PANCREATO BILIARY

- Kiraz N, Et L, Akgun Y, *et al.* Rapid detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from sputum specimens using the FASTPlaqueTB test. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2007;11:904–8.
- Freeman ML, DiSario JA, Nelson DB, *et al.* Risk factors for post-ERCP pancreatitis: a prospective, multicenter study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001;54:425–34.
- Vandervoort J, Soetikno RM, Tham TC, *et al.* Risk factors for complications after performance of ERCP. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56:652–6.
- 13. Nylander D, Mitchison HC, Bell GD. A one year audit of day case ERCP. *GUT* 2001;48:A96.
- Freeman ML, Nelson DB, Sherman S, et al. Same day discharge after endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy. Gastrointest Endosc 1999;49:580–6
- 15. Mehta SN, Pavone E, Barkun AN. Outpatient therapeutic ERCP: a series of 262 consecutive cases. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1996;44:443–9.
- JRB Green and The UK ERCP Stakeholders Working Party The future of training and ERCP service in UK – A strategy. BSG August 2007.

Experience of the ERCPists

They are both established and have a lifetime ERCP experience over 2000 procedures

<u>Stratitification of ERCP outcomes based on cannulation success, completion of intended procedure</u> <u>and complication rate by grades of procedure</u>

Deep bile duct cannulation rates for grade 1, grade 2 and grade 3 procedures were 91%, 94.6% and 100% respectively and completion of intended therapy was achieved in 93%, 83% and 75% respectively.

If the complications are considered in terms of procedure difficulty, then in grade 1 procedures, 5% had post ERCP pancreatitis and 1.9% had post sphincterotomy bleeding. The corresponding figures for grade 2 procedures were 1.3% and 1.3% respectively with an additional 1.3% having cholangitis. There were no complications in the grade 3 procedures.

Pancreatitis rate

The incidence of post ERCP pancreatitis was higher than that reported in the BSG audit. However,

our figures fall well within the range reported in the international literature, which is usually from

referral centres and large multi-centre studies (11,12).