



OPEN ACCESS

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Impact of therapeutic drug level monitoring on outcomes of patients with Crohn's disease treated with Infliximab: real world data from a retrospective single centre cohort study

Nikolaos Kamperidis, Paul Middleton, Tracey Tyrrell, Ioannis Stasinou, Naila Arebi

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/flgastro-2018-101024>).

IBD Department, St Mark's Hospital, London, UK

Correspondence to
Dr Naila Arebi, St Mark's Hospital, London HA1 3UJ, UK; narebi@nhs.net

Received 10 June 2018
Revised 24 November 2018
Accepted 2 December 2018
Published Online First
1 February 2019



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/flgastro-2018-101159>



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Kamperidis N, Middleton P, Tyrrell T, *et al.* *Frontline Gastroenterology* 2019;**10**:330–336.

ABSTRACT

Background Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) by measuring infliximab (IFX) trough levels and antibodies to infliximab (ATI) is used to optimise treatment in inflammatory bowel disease. We aimed to explore the clinical outcomes of TDM for patients with Crohn's disease on IFX in real life setting.

Methods This is a retrospective observational study. Primary outcomes were the clinicians' response to each TDM result and the rate of IFX discontinuation due to secondary loss of response or serious adverse event. Secondary outcomes included the intestinal surgery rate after IFX initiation and remission 6 months after TDM. Multivariate logistic regression was performed to identify factors associated with IFX discontinuation and abdominal surgery.

Results 291 patients were included. 238 (81.8%) patients were tested for TDM at least once during their follow-up with 672 TDM results. 95/238 patients (39.9%) had undetectable levels and 76 (31.9%) had positive ATI at least once. The median infliximab trough level was 3.4 µg/mL. IFX was discontinued in 109 patients (37.5%). 526/672 (78.3%) TDMs results were not followed by altered patient management. Treatment was discontinued in 40 (75.5%) patients never tested for TDM compared with 69 (29.0%) of those tested ($p < 0.01$). Fewer TDM tested patients (29; 12.2%) required intestinal surgery post IFX initiation compared with TDM not-tested (15; 28.3%). Not being TDM tested was independently associated with IFX discontinuation and abdominal surgery.

Conclusions IFX discontinuation and intestinal surgery were significantly less frequent with

TDM. TDM requested to investigate loss of response resulted in change in patient management.

INTRODUCTION

The use of infliximab (IFX) for Crohn's disease (CD)¹ has evolved shifting from episodic to scheduled therapy, monotherapy to combination with immunomodulators and unguided dose escalation to adjustment based on drug levels.^{2,3} Twenty per cent of patients present primary non-response (PNR) and 25%–40% secondary loss of response (SLR).⁴ SLR is managed by dose escalation, addition of immunomodulator or switch to another biologic.⁵ The evidence to guide decisions for dose intensification and switch to a different biologic is evolving.⁶

Measurement of serum trough level and antibodies to infliximab (ATI) in SLR has a role in guiding management. Adequate levels are associated with higher remission rates.⁷ Increase in trough levels after dose intensification is associated with better clinical response,⁸ whereas ATI with poorer outcomes.⁹ The TAXIT study was the first trial to compare concentration and clinically-based dosing showing a short lived benefit for concentration-based dosing.¹⁰

The usefulness of therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) depends on the indication. Most clinical evidence is about drug adjustment for PNR or SLR (reactive TDM).⁶ The role of routine TDM irrespective of clinical status remains unclear.⁶ In this

study, we aim to describe the use of TDM in everyday clinical practice. Specifically we aim to:

- ▶ Describe the indications for request, the clinicians' response and the patient outcomes 6 months after TDM.
- ▶ Evaluate whether patients who initiated IFX in the post-TDM era discontinued treatment because of SLR or serious adverse events (SAE) less frequently compared with those who initiated in the pre-TDM era.
- ▶ Evaluate whether TDM tested patients discontinued treatment due to SLR or SAE less frequently compared with those who never had TDM.

METHODS

Study design

This was a retrospective observational study.

Setting

Our inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) service manages a cohort of local and tertiary referrals. Patients receiving biologics are entered onto the IBD audit database.¹¹ Details on the clinical management were available on our electronic clinical information system.

Patient population

We included all patients who initiated IFX between January 2007 and July 2016. We excluded patients who were lost to follow-up within 3 months of initiation, had ulcerative colitis, indeterminate colitis or pouchitis, received less than three or episodic infusions.

Patients initiating IFX received induction dose of 5 mg/kg at weeks 0, 2, 6 and 8-weekly thereafter.

Intervention—TDM service

TDM was introduced to our institution in September 2013 using the lab of Sandwell and West Birmingham NHS Trust. Patients who started IFX before introduction of TDM (pre-TDM era), underwent TDM testing after its introduction.

TDM was undertaken prior to infusion at week 14 and week 50, at diagnosis or suspicion of SLR and to investigate SAEs or guide treatment discontinuation. Additionally, TDM was requested at the discretion of clinicians at any point for non specific reasons ('routine testing'). It is likely that at the start of TDM service there was uncertainty about its application and routine testing may have been for reassurance that clinical benefits reflected adequate dosing and/or there may have been an intention to adjust therapy that was not pursued.

Application of TDM results to guide therapy in SLR

Dose escalation was offered for undetectable drug trough levels without ATI with evidence of active disease (raised CRP, calprotectin or evidence on imaging or endoscopy). Patients with undetectable trough levels with ATI were switched to different anti-TNF, whereas treatment was changed to a non anti-TNF biologic when the trough levels were therapeutic and there was active disease. The

above represented a guide rather than a strict protocol. The final decision was at the discretion of clinicians.

Infliximab trough levels and ATI

Infliximab levels were measured by an ELISA assay as per Barlow *et al.*¹² Serum was added to a TNF- α coated plate and a detector was added to detect the IFX/TNF α complex. The reaction was detected with a fluorescent substrate.¹² The cost was £60 per assay.

TDM Measurements and terminology

Infliximab trough levels were expressed in $\mu\text{g/ml}$. ATI were reported qualitatively as 'positive' when ATI were and 'negative' when ATI were not detected. The ATI assay is not drug tolerant and would only detect ATI in the absence of detectable infliximab. Trough levels below 1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ are classified as 'undetectable' and equal to or above 1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ as therapeutic.

Patients who had TDM at least once are reported as 'TDM tested' and those who never had TDM as 'TDM never-tested'. Undetectable trough level at least once is captured as 'undetectable levels episode', ATI positive at least once as 'ATI episode' and dose intensification at least once as 'dose intensification episode'.

Data extraction and definitions

Demographic (age, sex) and disease-specific (Montreal Classification (MC),¹³ duration) data were extracted.

Disease activity was defined according to physician's global assessment (PGA) as active or inactive and was recorded from the consultation letters. PNR was defined at week 14 according to PGA for luminal disease as complete absence of clinical improvement and for perianal CD as failure to reduce the number of draining fistulas by 50%.¹⁴ SLR was defined according to PGA as worsening of symptoms after an initial response to IFX and raised CRP, calprotectin and/or endoscopic activity.

Infliximab trough level was the level measured on a sample drawn just prior to dosing. SAE was defined as any acute or delayed infusion reaction necessitating infliximab discontinuation.¹⁵

Outcome measures

Our primary outcome measures were: The clinicians' response to each TDM requested and treatment discontinuation because of SLR or SAE.¹⁶ Secondary outcome was the need for surgery (small bowel resection, colectomy or stricturoplasty) after the initiation of infliximab. Outcomes were compared between patients whose treatment was initiated before (pre-TDM era) or after the implementation of TDM (post-TDM era) and also between TDM tested and TDM never tested patients.

An additional secondary outcome was the proportion of clinical remission episodes 6 months after every TDM result.




Figure 1 Study population. IFX, infliximab; UC, ulcerative colitis.

Statistical analyses

Data were analysed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS V.17) and Prism Graphpad V.7. Continuous variables were expressed as median (IQR) and compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were expressed as absolute numbers n (percentage of population) and compared using the χ^2 test. Multivariate logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with drug discontinuation due to SLR/SAE and surgery. In the multivariate analysis (MVA) were entered all the variables that were significantly associated with our outcome on univariate analysis (UVA) (p value threshold 0.05). Kaplan-Meier curves and the log rank test compared the IFX discontinuation free and surgery-free survival between groups. Analyses were two-tailed and $p < 0.05$ were considered significant.

Ethical considerations

TDM in our practice constitutes a new clinical service ('TDM service') and this study was done as service evaluation. The care provided to patients was part of standard care. Therefore, formal ethics approval was not required. We received approval from the Research and Development (R&D) department of London North West Healthcare NHS Trust (SE16/042) as per Trust Policy.

RESULTS

Population

Total 517 patients were identified on our database. From these, 226 were excluded for various reasons (figure 1). Total 291 patients were included.

The median (IQR) patient age was 35.0 (21.0) years with disease duration 89.95 (157.2) months prior to IFX. The MC and IFX indications are described on table 1. The duration of follow-up was 44.7 (40.3) months.

Total 161 (55.3%) patients initiated IFX in the pre-TDM era and 238 (81.8%) were TDM tested. From these, 95 (39.9%) had an undetectable levels episode and 76 (31.9%) an ATI episode. Total 199 (83.6%) had at least one routine TDM.

IFX was discontinued due to SLR or SAE in 109 patients (37.5%) and 39/109 (35.8%) had at least one

Table 1 Baseline demographic and disease specific characteristics of patients on infliximab

	N=291
Age	35.0 (21.0)
Disease duration prior to IFX	89.95 (157.2)
Montreal classification for Crohn's, Age at diagnosis (years)	
A1	58 (19.9)
A2	197 (67.7)
A3	36 (12.4)
Montreal classification for Crohn's, Location	
L1	41 (14.1)
L2	72 (24.7)
L3	177 (60.8)
+L4	59 (20.4)
+Perianal	138 (47.4)
Montreal classification for Crohn's, Behaviour	
B1	76 (26.1)
B2	45 (15.5)
B3	170 (58.4)
Indication for IFX	
Luminal CD	184 (63.2)
Perianal CD	55 (18.9)
Luminal+perianal CD	52 (17.9)
Previous exposure to biologic, n (%)	24 (8.2)
Previous intestinal surgery, n (%)	125 (43.0)
Era of IFX initiation, n (%)	
Pre-TDM	161 (55.3)
Post-TDM	130 (44.7)
Patients tested for TDM, n (%)	238 (81.8)
Median (range) number of TDMs per patient	2 (0–8)
Interval from 1st infusion to 1st TDM (months)	12.5 (30.2)
Undetectable levels episode, n (%)	95 (40.1)
ATI episode, n (%)	76 (31.9)
Dose intensification episode, n (%)	62 (21.3)
Patients discontinued IFX due to SLR or SAE, n (%)	109 (37.5)
Abdominal surgery after IFX initiation, n (%)	44 (15.1)
Duration of overall follow-up (months)	44.7 (40.3)

All values represent median (IQR) unless otherwise indicated. Time and duration is expressed in months.

ATI, antibodies to infliximab; CD, Crohn's disease; IFX, infliximab; SAE, serious adverse event; SLR, secondary loss of response; TDM, therapeutic drug monitoring.

routine TDM. Of these 39, 18 (46%) had undetectable trough levels, 5 (13%) levels of 1–3 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, 16 (41%) levels of 3.1–10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and 17 (44%) had ATI prior to discontinuation. Surgery was required in 44 patients (15.1%). Total 62 patients (21.3%) had their dose intensified.

Effect of TDM results on clinical management

Total 672 TDM tests were requested for 238 patients. The median (IQR) IFX trough level was 3.4 (5.4) $\mu\text{g/mL}$. IFX trough levels were undetectable in 154 (22.9%) samples, between 1 and 3 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in 161 (24%), between 3.1 and 10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in 356 (53%) and above 10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in

Table 2 Clinical management changes within 6 months of therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) tests

Number of TDM measurements	672
Number of patients	238
IFX trough level (µg/ml)	3.4 (5.4)
Positive antibodies, n (%)	118 (17.6)
Undetectable levels, n (%)	154 (22.9)
TDM indication n (%)	
Primary non-response	3 (0.4)
Secondary loss of response	180 (26.8)
Reaction to IFX	9 (1.3)
Routine testing	469 (69.8)
Consideration of de-escalation	11 (1.6)
Post TDM management n (%)	
Dose escalation	58 (8.6)
Switch to different anti-TNF	40 (6.0)
Switch to non anti-TNF biologic	12 (1.8)
Add thiopurine	10 (1.5)
Stop biologics	17 (2.5)
Treatment de-escalation	9 (1.3)
No action	526 (78.3)
Remission at 6 months	411 (61.3)

All values represent median (IQR) unless otherwise indicated. Time and duration is expressed in months.

1 (0.1%). Total 118 (17.6%) TDM samples were ATI positive. The time from IFX initiation to first TDM was 12.5 (30.2) months and the first and subsequent trough levels were 3.7 (5.3) µg/ml and 3.2 (5.5) µg/mL, respectively.

Total 469 (69.8%) routine TDM assays were undertaken. The second most common indication was SLR in 180 (26.8%) (table 2).

Most TDMs (526/672; 78.3%) were not followed by change in patient management. Total 58 (8.6 %) TDMs were followed by dose intensification, 40 (6.0%) by switch to alternative anti-TNF, 12 (1.8%) to non-anti-TNF biologic, 10 (1.5%) by addition of thiopurine, 9 (1.3%) by dose de-escalation and 17 (2.5%) by biologic discontinuation. Total 78 (32.8%) TDM tested patients had management modifications as a result of TDM. When TDM indication was PNR or SLR, change in management occurred after 54.6% (100/183) of levels compared with 9.4% (46/489) for other indications (p<0.01).

Total 411 (61.3%) assays were followed by clinical remission after 6 months. When TDM was requested for PNR or SLR, the 6 months remission rates were similar irrespective of whether change in patient management followed (49 (52.1%)) or not (36 (45.0%)) (p=0.35). The median (IQR) trough level followed by remission 6 months after testing was 4.3 (5.4) µg/mL compared with 2.3 (4.7) µg/mL for assays followed by active disease (p<0.01).

Initiation of IFX in the pre-TDM or post-TDM era: patient characteristics and outcomes

The age of patients initiated on IFX in the pre-TDM era was 37.0 (19.0) compared with 33.0 (23.0) years for patients of the post-TDM era (p<0.01). The duration of follow-up was 62.3 (36.5) months for the former and 25.7 (14.5) for the latter (p<0.01). Perianal and penetrative disease were more prevalent among pre-TDM era patients. Previous exposure to biologic was noted in 7 (4.3%) patients of the pre-TDM era and 17 (13.1%) of the post-TDM era (p<0.01). 111 (68.9%) patients of the pre-TDM era had TDM compared with 127 (97.7%) of the post-TDM era (p<0.01). More patients of the post-TDM era had an ATI episode (32.3% vs 21.1%, p=0.03).

IFX was discontinued due to SLR or SAE in 69 (42.9%) patients of the pre-TDM era compared with 40 (30.8%) of the post-TDM era (p=0.03). The median (IQR) time to discontinuation was 48.0 (40.1) and 19.4 (16.8) months, respectively (p<0.01). The rate of intestinal surgery after initiation of IFX and time to surgery did not differ between groups (online supplementary table 1).

Disease outcomes and patient characteristics for TDM tested and TDM never tested patients

There was no difference in the age, disease duration and MC between groups. The duration of follow-up was 37.4 (31.6) months for the TDM tested and 67.0 (53.6) for the TDM never tested. More patients of the former group (24 (10.1%)) compared with the latter (0 (0%)) received IFX as second line biologic (p=0.02) (online supplementary table 2).

IFX was discontinued due to SLR or SAE in 69 (29.0%) TDM tested patients and 40 (75.5%) TDM never tested (p<0.01) and the discontinuation free survival was longer for the former (32.9 (35.1) months) compared with the later (19.9 (22.8) months) (p<0.01) (figure 2A). Less TDM tested patients (29; 12.2%) required surgery compared with TDM never tested (15; 28.3%) (p<0.01) (table 3). Time to surgery did not differ between groups (online supplementary table 2, figure 2B).




Figure 2 Survival analysis of the time to drug discontinuation due to SLR or SAE (A) and time to surgery for IBD (B). The dotted line and the solid line represent the 'TDM never tested' and the 'TDM tested' group, respectively. IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; IFX, infliximab; SAE, serious adverse event; SLR, secondary loss of response; TDM, therapeutic drug monitoring.

Table 3 Multivariate analysis of factors associated with infliximab discontinuation due to SLR or SAE

Factor	OR	CI for OR	P value
TDM never tested	8.0	3.8 to 17.0	<0.01
Pre-TDM era	0.65	0.3 to 1.4	0.28
Previous exposure to biologic	4.4	1.8 to 10.7	<0.01
Total duration of follow-up	1.01	0.99 to 1.02	0.07

SAE, serious adverse event; SLR, secondary loss of response; TDM, therapeutic drug monitoring.

Factors associated with IFX discontinuation due to SLR or SAE

The UVA of factors associated with discontinuation of IFX due to SLR or SAE identified that the overall duration of follow-up, previous exposure to biologic, initiating treatment in the post-TDM era and being TDM never tested were associated with higher rates of discontinuation due to SLR or SAE (online supplementary table 3).

MVA (OR (95% CI), p value) showed that being TDM never tested (8.0 (3.8, 17.0), <0.01) and having previously been exposed to a biologic (4.4 (1.8, 10.7), <0.01) were associated with IFX discontinuation (table 3).

Factors associated with intestinal surgery after IFX initiation

The UVA of factors associated with surgery identified that the overall duration of follow-up, being TDM never tested and previous exposure to biologic, are associated with higher rates of surgery after initiation of IFX (online supplementary table 4).

MVA showed that being TDM never tested (2.5, (1.1, 5.7), 0.03) and previous exposure to biologic (4.3 (1.6, 11.8), <0.01) are associated with surgery after initiation of IFX (table 4).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we showed that only 22% of TDM requests were followed by change in patient management. Where the indication was PNR or SLR, the impact was greater. Moreover, the TDM never tested group showed an 8-fold risk of drug discontinuation and a 2.5-fold risk of surgery.

With the expansion biologics and the rising awareness of immunogenicity, research focused on methods to optimise their effects. TDM was initially described

in clinical trials as a secondary observation¹⁷ but slowly entered clinical practice in a non-standardised way. The American Gastroenterological Association published a technical review of TDM and the evidence was graded as low quality.⁶ However, a few lessons can be learnt from our results.

Key results and interpretation

Infliximab discontinuation due to SLR or SAE

Our results are similar to others'. 37.5% of our patients discontinued IFX. Similarly, recent studies quoted that 27% of patients have poor outcomes including treatment discontinuation and surgery at 1 year¹⁸ and 54% discontinue treatment at 24 months after initiation.¹⁷ Others reported similar discontinuation rates with Adalimumab.¹⁹

Fifteen per cent of patients had surgery after IFX initiation. Surgery was more likely among patients who never had TDM. Surgery rate in our patients appears close to that quoted by Ederhardson *et al*²⁰ but is lower compared with other reports where 26% of patients underwent surgery.²¹ This may reflect the shift of clinical practice towards elective surgery²¹ and the availability of non-surgical interventions including double balloon enteroscopy,²² endoscopic balloon dilatation²² and endoscopic submucosal dissection.²³

Our results suggest that both surgery and treatment discontinuation are more likely among TDM never tested patients. This reflects management planning according to TDM as more likely to be beneficial. It is challenging to suggest whether the change in management directed by TDM results in better outcome or whether TDM creates reasoning grounds to persevere with optimisation of the same agent.

Impact of TDM in clinical decision making

We found that 22% of TDM resulted in change in patient management as the predominant indication was routine TDM. Clinicians undertaking TDM in the context of loss of response have a clear goal. The rationale of routine TDM seems unclear and may have arisen in early days of TDM when there was less understanding of how to use it. It would have been interesting to explore the reasons further, but this was beyond our scope. For 469 routine assays, the cost was estimated about £28 000 and only 1 in 10 routine tests resulted in management change. Our new protocol discourages the use of routine TDM.

The published literature on the impact of TDM on decision making is limited. In a paediatric population,²⁴ TDM resulted in change in management in 34% of cases. Another study of 71 patients reported a change in management for 37% of cases after TDM for SLR.⁹

Table 4 Multivariate analysis of factors associated with IBD-related abdominal surgery after infliximab initiation

Factor	OR	CI for OR	P value
TDM never tested	2.5	1.1 to 5.7	0.03
Previous exposure to biologic, n (%)	4.3	1.6 to 11.8	<0.01
Total duration of follow-up	1.01	1.0 to 1.02	0.053

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; TDM, therapeutic drug monitoring.

However, emerging evidence suggests that proactive TDM followed by dose adjustment to target levels above 5 µg/mL is associated with less treatment failure and need for surgery,^{25–27} suggesting that proactive TDM should be followed. In our study, it is speculative whether treatment failure may have been avoided for patients who underwent routine testing, had clinicians adjusted the dose to reach a target concentration. Our finding that remission at 6 months after TDM was associated with higher IFX trough level supports this.

Strengths

Our study has several strengths. Patients were identified through a prospectively built database capturing consecutive patients. Therefore, we report on a large number of patients and TDMs. Second, all tests were undertaken by the same laboratory with identical technique. Last, although the overall duration of follow-up was greater for TDM tested patients and patients of the pre-TDM era, this was not a significant prognostic factor in the MVA, therefore our results do not reflect lead time bias.

Limitations

This is a retrospective study and data collected on clinical outcomes rely record keeping. We used the PGA as a measure of clinical remission. This may be subject to interpretation bias. Second, our results may be subject to tertiary centre bias. Although the majority of patients represent our local area, our outpatient clinic includes tertiary patients who have more complex disease. Although there were no significant differences in the MC among groups, this may be inadequate to describe disease complexity which is better reflected by the level of intestinal damage (Lemann classification).²⁸ Last, we use the endpoints of drug discontinuation due to SLR or SAE and surgery; however, we acknowledge the fact that it is not uncommon to stop IFX for ongoing abdominal pain, without formal assessment of activity. Similarly surgery may not reflect active inflammation or stricturing disease.

CONCLUSION

We report our experience with TDM and outcomes for patients with CD on IFX. We show that treatment discontinuation due to SLR or SAE and surgery is less likely when TDM is undertaken. However, the majority of TDM was done routinely and not followed by change in clinical management. A reactive indication (PNR or SLR) was more likely to result in change in management. There is evidence suggesting that proactively dose adjusting to reach a target level, results in better outcomes.²⁷ Therefore, unless routine testing is undertaken with the

intent to proactively dose adjust, due to the cost implications and in the face of our observations, we should limit TDM to reactive testing while taking the opportunity to design studies with longitudinal follow-up.

Significance of this study

What is already known on this topic

- ▶ Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) for infliximab is a useful tool when managing secondary loss of response (SLR).
- ▶ The TAXIT study has shown that continued dosing of infliximab aiming to reach a target trough concentration results in better outcomes at 1 year.
- ▶ The pattern of TDM use in everyday clinical practice and its influence in the patient management and longer term outcomes have not been described.

What this study adds

- ▶ TDM when requested routinely in everyday clinical practice did not change the patient management in most cases, while it did when requested reactively to primary non-response (PNR) or loss of response.
- ▶ Patients who have TDM measured during their course of treatment are less likely to have their treatment discontinued due to treatment failure or serious adverse events or to have surgery for inflammatory bowel disease.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future

- ▶ More clinicians and clinical centres will adopt the use of TDM as this study shows that TDM is not only a research or clinical trial tool but has a value that benefits patients in real life clinical practice.
- ▶ Clinicians will be encouraged to use TDM for clear indications (PNR, SLR) rather than routinely to maximise the benefit from TDM and minimise the associated cost.

Contributors NK conceived the study, collected a part of the data, analysed the data and prepared the manuscript. PM, TT and IS collected data for the study. NA supervised the study design, conduct of the study and results and reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Funding NK received funding from St Mark’s Foundation to support his salary during this project.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement There are no additional unpublished data from this study.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-

commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

REFERENCES

- 1 Hanauer SB, Feagan BG, Lichtenstein GR, *et al.* Maintenance infliximab for Crohn's disease: the ACCENT I randomised trial. *Lancet* 2002;359:1541–9.
- 2 Rutgeerts P, Feagan BG, Lichtenstein GR, *et al.* Comparison of scheduled and episodic treatment strategies of infliximab in Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 2004;126:402–13.
- 3 Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Reinisch W, *et al.* Infliximab, azathioprine, or combination therapy for Crohn's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:1383–95.
- 4 Ding NS, Hart A, De Cruz P. Systematic review: predicting and optimising response to anti-TNF therapy in Crohn's disease - algorithm for practical management. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2016;43:30–51.
- 5 Martínez-Montiel P, Van Domselaar M, Van Domselaar M, *et al.* Intensification of infliximab therapy in Crohn's disease: efficacy and safety. *J Crohns Colitis* 2012;6:62–7.
- 6 Vande Casteele N, Herfarth H, Katz J, *et al.* *Gastroenterology* 2017;153:835–57.
- 7 Paul S, Del Tedesco E, Marotte H, *et al.* Therapeutic drug monitoring of infliximab and mucosal healing in inflammatory bowel disease: a prospective study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2013;19:2568–76.
- 8 Warman A, Straathof JW, Derijks LJ. Therapeutic drug monitoring of infliximab in inflammatory bowel disease patients in a teaching hospital setting: results of a prospective cohort study. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015;27:242–8.
- 9 Mitchell RA, Shuster C, Shahidi N, *et al.* The utility of infliximab therapeutic drug monitoring among patients with inflammatory bowel disease and concerns for loss of response: A retrospective analysis of a real-world experience. *Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016;2016:1–7.
- 10 Vande Casteele N, Ferrante M, Van Assche G, *et al.* Trough concentrations of infliximab guide dosing for patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 2015;148:1320–9.
- 11 Cassinotti A, Keshav S, Ardizzone S, *et al.* IBD care in Europe: A comparative audit of the inpatient management of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis using the national UK IBD audit tool. *J Crohns Colitis* 2009;3:291–301.
- 12 Barlow NL, Mohammed P, Berg JD. Serum trough infliximab and anti-infliximab antibodies in a cohort of gastroenterology and rheumatology patients' infliximab therapeutic drug monitoring. *Ann Clin Biochem* 2016;53(Pt 4):477–84.
- 13 Satsangi J, Silverberg MS, Vermeire S, *et al.* The Montreal classification of inflammatory bowel disease: controversies, consensus, and implications. *Gut* 2006;55:749–53.
- 14 Billiet T, Papamichael K, de Bruyn M, *et al.* A matrix-based model predicts primary response to infliximab in crohn's disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2015;9:1120–6.
- 15 Papamichael K, Vajravelu RK, Osterman MT, *et al.* Long-term outcome of infliximab optimization for overcoming immunogenicity in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 2018;63:761–7.
- 16 Neovius M, Arkema EV, Olsson H, *et al.* Drug survival on TNF inhibitors in patients with rheumatoid arthritis comparison of adalimumab, etanercept and infliximab. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:354–60.
- 17 Bots SJA, Hoekman DR, Benninga MA, *et al.* Patterns of anti-TNF use and associated treatment outcomes in inflammatory bowel disease patients: results from an analysis of Dutch health insurance claims data. *Neth J Med* 2017;75:432–42.
- 18 Tighe D, Hall B, Jeyarajah SK, *et al.* One-Year Clinical Outcomes in an IBD Cohort Who Have Previously Had Anti-TNFα Trough and Antibody Levels Assessed. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2017;23:1154–9.
- 19 Tanaka H, Kamata N, Yamada A, *et al.* Long-term retention of adalimumab treatment and associated prognostic factors for 1189 patients with Crohn's disease. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018;33.
- 20 Eberhardson M, Söderling JK, Neovius M, *et al.* Anti-TNF treatment in Crohn's disease and risk of bowel resection-a population based cohort study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2017;46:589–98.
- 21 Tøttrup A, Erichsen R, Sværke C, *et al.* Thirty-day mortality after elective and emergency total colectomy in Danish patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a population-based nationwide cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2012;2:e000823.
- 22 Baars JE, Theyventhiran R, Aepli P, *et al.* Double-balloon enteroscopy-assisted dilatation avoids surgery for small bowel strictures: A systematic review. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017;23:8073–81.
- 23 Suzuki N, Toyonaga T, East JE. Endoscopic submucosal dissection of colitis-related dysplasia. *Endoscopy* 2017;49:1237–42.
- 24 Deora V, Kozak J, El-Kalla M, *et al.* Therapeutic drug monitoring was helpful in guiding the decision-making process for children receiving infliximab for inflammatory bowel disease. *Acta Paediatr* 2017;106:1863–7.
- 25 Vaughn BP, Martinez-Vazquez M, Patwardhan VR, *et al.* Proactive therapeutic concentration monitoring of infliximab may improve outcomes for patients with inflammatory bowel disease: results from a pilot observational study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2014;20:1996–2003.
- 26 Papamichael K, Vajravelu RK, Vaughn BP, *et al.* Proactive infliximab monitoring following reactive testing is associated with better clinical outcomes than reactive testing alone in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2018;12:804–10.
- 27 Papamichael K, Chachu KA, Vajravelu RK, *et al.* Improved long-term outcomes of patients with inflammatory bowel disease receiving proactive compared with reactive monitoring of serum concentrations of infliximab. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;15:1580–8.
- 28 Pariente B, Cosnes J, Danese S, *et al.* Development of the crohn's disease digestive damage score, the Lémann score. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2011;17:1415–22.