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ABSTRACT

Objective Iron deficiency anaemia (IDA) occurs in 2%–5% of men and postmenopausal women in the developed world and, if left untreated, can significantly impair quality of life or decompensate chronic illnesses. Approximately 10% of men and postmenopausal women with IDA have underlying gastrointestinal malignancy. This study identifies trends in the management of IDA in secondary care in England.

Design/method The Hospital Episode Statistics database was used to analyse IDA-related hospital and outpatient admissions (elective and non-elective) in National Health Service England between April 2012 and March 2018. Outcome measures included rates of readmission, length of stay (LOS) and cost per admission.

Results Between 2012/2013 and 2017/2018, there was a 72% increase in hospital admissions for patients with a primary diagnosis of IDA and a 68% increase in hospital spells, with the number of cases being managed non-electively increasing by 58%. Non-electively managed patients had a longer LOS (3.10 vs 0.04 days, respectively) and increased rate of readmissions within 30 days (24.1% vs 6.6%) versus patients managed electively. Average day-case cost was £449 versus £1676 for non-elective admission. Across the 195 clinical commissioning groups (CCGs) in England, non-elective spells per 100000 population demonstrated extensive and widening variability, ranging from 18 to 118 in 2017/2018 compared with 11–55 in 2012/2013.

Conclusion The current analysis highlights several opportunities to improve patient outcomes and reduce costs. There is an opportunity to improve day-case services by looking at the difference between CCGs and the variability in care and to reduce the number of non-elective admissions.

INTRODUCTION

Iron deficiency anaemia (IDA) occurs in 2%–5% of adult men and postmenopausal women in the developed world and, if left
untreated, significantly impair quality of life or decompensate chronic illnesses. Iron deficiency can occur, with or without anaemia, when iron availability is insufficient to meet the body’s needs. Although IDA may be diagnosed with appropriate additional blood tests and treated with either dietary replacement, oral or intravenous iron, this condition is frequently overlooked.

Reducing unplanned hospitalisation in individuals with ambulatory care sensitive conditions (ACSC), such as IDA, is a key indicator in the National Health Service (NHS) Outcomes Framework for improving the quality of life of patients with long-term conditions; nonetheless, IDA is the seventh most preventable cause for hospital admissions (ie, higher than asthma or diabetes).

Individuals at high risk of IDA include those with chronic inflammation (eg, inflammatory bowel disease, chronic heart failure and chronic kidney disease) and presurgery or blood loss (eg, heavy menstrual bleeding). IDA may also occur with numerous upper and lower gastrointestinal (GI) pathologies; thus, IDA is a common cause of referral to gastroenterologists, representing 4%-13% of all gastroenterology referrals.

From these cases, approximately 10% of men and postmenopausal women with IDA have underlying GI malignancy, often in the absence of any other clinical manifestations. Thus, IDA is recognised as an urgent indication for GI investigation and delayed/incomplete investigations for underlying GI pathology carry the potential for GI cancers to be missed.

The current British Society for Gastroenterology (BSG) guidelines suggest that ‘all patients (other than menstruating women) with IDA and no obvious cause should have both an upper GI endoscopy and either colonoscopy or radiological imaging (unless carcinoma or coeliac disease is found)’. The objective of the current study was to use real-world data from the English NHS to identify trends between 2012 and 2018 in the management of IDA in secondary care, including financial and in-hospital costs.

METHODS

Data source and study data

Hospital admissions relating to IDA between April 2012 and March 2018 were analysed using the Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) database, which contains details of all admissions to NHS hospitals and all NHS outpatient appointments in England; HES data are provided by the NHS Information Centre for Health and Social Care under a commercial reuse licence via Harvey Walsh Ltd. Data were pseudo-anonymised to prevent individual patient identification.

HES data for patients with a diagnosis of IDA were based on postdischarge diagnostic coding from secondary care and align to the following International Classification of Disease codes (10th iteration): D50.0 (IDA secondary to blood loss (chronic)), D50.8 (other IDA) and D50.9 (IDA, unspecified).

Based on the previous codes, data were requested for patients with or without IDA and segmented into yearly intervals. National data were segmented into clinical commissioning groups (CCGs) for the year 2017/2018. Admission data for elective and non-elective patients and spells were included. To avoid skewing diagnosis with coding practice, the data were split into three main groups: patients with IDA coded in the primary position, patients with IDA coded in the secondary position and patients with IDA coded in any position. Unless otherwise specified, the results refer to patients with IDA coded in the primary position.

Outcome measures

Outcome measures including rates of readmission within 30 days, bed days, length of stay (LOS) and cost per admission were collected. Prescription data for oral ferrous iron products were also included. CCG prescription data were analysed for the community setting (not the hospital setting).

Statistical evaluation

Descriptive statistics were used to analyse the data and, where appropriate, quantitative variables were used according to mean and range. Qualitative variables were described according to the absolute and/or relative (%) frequency of each class. Missing data were not considered in the calculation of percentages. Correlations were analysed using Pearson product moment correlation coefficient (r), with results between −0.1 and 0.1 being deemed to have no correlation. Statistical significance was demonstrated for results <0.05 using Student’s t-distribution, two-tailed test. To test statistical significance between correlation coefficients, Steiger’s z-test was used. Statistical significance was also deemed from values <0.05.

RESULTS

Primary diagnosis

During the period April 2017 to March 2018, a total of 97781 patients and 159400 patients, respectively,
had a primary and a secondary diagnosis of IDA, with the former accounting for 131,088 hospital admissions in England (figure 1A). These data represent a 72% increase between 2012/2013 and 2017/2018 in patients admitted to hospital with either a primary or secondary diagnosis of IDA, and a 68% increase in hospital admissions (spells) since 2012/2013 for those admitted with a primary diagnosis (figure 1A). Nonetheless, year-on-year data for hospital spells per patient remained relatively constant (average of 1.37 in 2012/2013; 1.34 in 2013/2014; 1.32 in 2014/2015; 1.33 in 2015/2016; 1.34 in 2016/2017; and 1.34 spells per patient in 2017/2018).

Non-elective spells for patients with a primary diagnosis of IDA

Between 2012/2013 and 2017/2018, there was a 58% increase in the number of non-elective spells, accounting for 9283 additional spells (figure 1A). Assuming a population of 58,719,921 in England, this equates to 43.0 non-elective spells per 100,000 population during the period 2017/2018, compared with 29.3 non-elective spells per 100,000 population in 2012/2013.

Correlation analysis to explore the relationship between demographic factors and non-elective spells only showed a correlation between higher rates of non-elective admissions and increasing levels of deprivation ($r = -0.233$, $p = 0.001$), while no correlation was seen for age (% patients ≥65: $r = 0.015$, $p = 0.837$) or gender (% female patients: $r = 0.105$, $p = 0.144$).

Comparison of non-elective spells per 100,000 population across CCGs in England demonstrated wide variation (eg, range in 2017/2018: 18–118 non-elective spells per 100,000 population; figure 1B). We also noted a widening variation between CCGs in 2017/2018 compared with 2012/2013 (range: 11–55 non-elective spells per 100,000 population).

Cost burden of IDA admissions

The increasing number of patients admitted to hospital with a primary diagnosis of IDA contributed to a 38% increase in total expenditure for managing IDA in...
England (£65.8 million in 2012/2013 to £90.6 million in 2017/2018; figure 2A). Day-case costs increased by 59% from £29.2 million in 2012/2013 to £46.6 million in 2017/2018, while non-elective expenditure increased by 21% from £35.1 million in 2012/2013 to £42.4 million in 2017/2018.

Thus, day-case treatment accounted for the majority (£17.3 million, equating to 70%) of the increase in total costs between 2012/2013 and 2017/2018, with non-elective accounting for 29% (£7.2 million) and EL admissions accounting for 1%.

Total annual costs in 2017/2018 for day case (103,806) were managed at a cost of £46.5 million. Total annual costs in 2017/2018 for non-elective case (25,275) was £42.3 million; while these are not directly comparable costs, it does represent a fourfold difference in the number of patients treated (figure 2).

Considerable variation from the national average for total cost per 100,000 population of £154,248 was observed within CCGs across England in 2017/2018 (total cost range per 100,000 population: £71,259–£345,114; online supplemental figure 1).

Length of stay

Although elective admissions in 2017/2018 accounted for 94% of bed days (78,292 elective bed days) and only 6% days were due to non-elective admissions (4,623 non-elective bed days), the average LOS was considerably longer for a non-elective spell than an elective spell (3.10 vs 0.04 days, respectively; table 1).

Minor LOS reductions were observed between 2012/2013 and 2017/2018, with a 21.6% reduction when IDA was the primary diagnosis (1.8 to 1.4 days; table 1) and an 11.4% reduction when IDA was a secondary diagnosis (7.4 days to 6.6 days). Furthermore, in patients with a primary IDA diagnoses, LOS due to non-elective have been steadily reducing, while LOS due to elective admissions have remained relatively constant (online supplemental figure 2). There was wide variation in LOS within CCGs in England in 2017/2018 (range: 0.44 days–9.46 days).

Readmissions with IDA

Non-elective patients had approximately a three-fold higher chance of readmission within 30 days than elective and day-case patients (eg, 24.1% vs...
6.6%, respectively, in 2017/2018; table 1). Between 2012/2013 and 2017/2018, there was a slight increase in readmission rates for both non-elective patients (22.4% and 24.1%, respectively) and elective and day-case patients (6.4% and 6.6%; table 1). Additionally, in 2017/2018, there was substantial variation in the numbers of patients readmitted within 30 days following a non-elective admission between CCGs (range: 15.2%–37.0%).

**Management of IDA**

Between 2012/2013 and 2017/2018, prescriptions for community-prescribed oral iron increased by 1313 per 100 000 population (10.7% more) at an increased cost of £14 537 (66.0% more; online supplemental table 1). Higher rates of oral iron prescriptions in the community correlated with a higher frequency of elective patients had considerably longer LOS (3.10 vs 0.04 days, respectively) and increased rates of 30-day readmissions (24.1% vs 6.6%)—both of which are key cost drivers for IDA management. Indeed, a number of studies have highlighted knowledge gaps regarding optimal management of patients presenting to emergency departments with IDA. Thus, transferring IDA management from non-elective to elective represents an important opportunity to reduce the financial burden of IDA, particularly with regards to extended LOS and increased readmissions, in an era when there is increasing financial pressure on healthcare systems.

**DISCUSSION**

Using real-world data from the English NHS, the current analysis sought to explore trends in the secondary care management of IDA between 2012 and 2018. HES data for that period demonstrated a 72% increase in hospital admissions and 68% increase in hospital spells for patients with a primary diagnosis of IDA, both of which contributed to increased expenditure for management of IDA in England. Although increasing year-on-year costs associated with IDA management were driven by both day-case and non-elective admissions, it is notable that with a similar budget, four times as many patients were managed as day cases compared with non-elective admissions. Despite the evidence that day-case treatment represents a more cost-effective means of managing IDA than non-elective admissions, there was a 58% increase in non-elective admissions for patients with a primary diagnosis of IDA between 2012 and 2018.

Compared with patients managed electively, non-elective patients had considerably longer LOS (3.10 vs 0.04 days, respectively) and increased rates of 30-day readmissions (24.1% vs 6.6%)—both of which are key cost drivers for IDA management. Indeed, a number of studies have highlighted knowledge gaps regarding optimal management of patients presenting to emergency departments with IDA. Thus, transferring IDA management from non-elective to elective represents an important opportunity to reduce the financial burden of IDA, particularly with regards to extended LOS and increased readmissions, in an era when there is increasing financial pressure on healthcare systems.

Examination of non-elective admission rates across CCGs showed increasing non-elective admissions, as well as widening variation between CCGs, highlighting the need for standardised care pathways for IDA in England. The 2013 QualityWatch ‘Focus on preventable admissions’ report demonstrated that

---

**Table 1** Bed days, LOS and readmissions (within 30 days of original spell)* for patients with a primary diagnosis of IDA between 2012/2013 and 2017/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HES year/date range</th>
<th>Total bed days</th>
<th>Total non-elective bed days</th>
<th>Total elective bed days</th>
<th>Mean LOS total</th>
<th>Mean LOS non-elective spells</th>
<th>Mean LOS elective spells</th>
<th>% non-elective readmitting</th>
<th>% elective readmitting</th>
<th>Difference between % elective/day case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012/2013</td>
<td>79 292</td>
<td>5495</td>
<td>68 634</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013/2014</td>
<td>72 464</td>
<td>4370</td>
<td>68 094</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/2015</td>
<td>75 076</td>
<td>5070</td>
<td>70 006</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015/2016</td>
<td>80 498</td>
<td>4629</td>
<td>75 869</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016/2017</td>
<td>85 254</td>
<td>5502</td>
<td>79 752</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017/2018</td>
<td>82 915</td>
<td>4623</td>
<td>78 292</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*With anaemia in any diagnosis position in original spell.
DC, day case; HES, hospital episode statistics; IDA, iron deficiency anaemia; LOS, length of stay.
demographic factors, such as the level of deprivation, age and gender balance, can have an influence on inter-CCG variation in admission rates for ACSCs. However, the results of the current analysis only showed a correlation between non-elective admissions and deprivation levels, while gender and age were not correlated. A cause for this may lie in the introduction of streamlined organisational pathways or guidelines that have helped reduce non-elective admissions. Reducing non-elective admissions across all CCGs to the national average (or below) has the potential to improve patient outcomes, reduce costs and free up bed space, which is at a premium in English hospitals.

In terms of trends in the management of IDA, prescriptions for oral and intravenous iron preparations and the number of blood transfusions increased during the period of our analyses, and this may represent an increased awareness of this condition and the need for treatment. Newer preparations of oral iron, including ferric maltol, are now available but were not included in the analysis in this study as they were only approved for limited use during the study period. Future studies will need to consider the usage of ferric maltol in the treatment of IDA in selected situations.

Current BSG guidelines recommend that all patients have iron supplementation to correct anaemia and replenish body stores, while parenteral iron may be used when oral preparations are not tolerated and blood transfusions should be reserved for patients with or at risk of cardiovascular instability due to the degree of their anaemia. Whether the use of parenteral iron would have an impact on non-elective admission rates is uncertain and was not analysed in this manuscript due to the relatively small number of infusions seen. Future studies might consider evaluating the impact of parenteral iron in these settings to establish if there were a role in the prevention of non-elective admissions.

To our knowledge, this is the largest observational analysis of IDA management within the English NHS. Although HES represents the most comprehensive source of healthcare data available within the UK, there are limitations to these data, namely that the quality of the data is dependent on the accuracy and completeness of the clinical coding. However, HES data are analysed and audited regularly to ensure consistency and compliance to national regulations, and the current analysis was performed based on the largest and most appropriate available dataset relating to secondary care management of IDA in England.

The results of the current analysis highlight a number of potential opportunities to improve patient outcomes, as well as reduce IDA management costs. These include reducing non-elective emergency admissions for individuals with a primary diagnosis of IDA, thereby reducing readmission within 30 days following non-elective emergency admission and transferring IDA treatment from the more costly non-elective admission to day-case treatment. In addition, the wide variability in standards of care across CCGs highlights the need for collaborative ambulatory care pathways for IDA management in England.

To reduce variance across CCGs, learning from centres where the non-elective admission rates are low and sharing best practice could support the adoption of successful IDA management and care pathways. More can be done to reduce non-elective admissions and real-word data such as this can inform and improve management, care and potentially NHS budgets.
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