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ABSTRACT

The actual incidence of bile acid diarrhoea (BAD) is unknown, however, there is increasing evidence that it is misdiagnosed in up to 30% with diarrhoea-predominant patients with irritable bowel syndrome. Besides this, it may also occur following cholecystectomy, infectious diarrhoea and pelvic chemoradiotherapy. BAD may result from either hepatic overproduction of bile acids or their malabsorption in the terminal ileum. It can result in symptoms such as bowel frequency, urgency, nocturnal defecation, excessive flatulence, abdominal pain and incontinence of stool. Bile acid synthesis is regulated by negative feedback loops related to the enterohepatic circulation, which are dependent on the farnesoid X receptor and fibroblast growth factor 19. Interruption of these feedback loops is thought to cause bile acid overproduction leading to BAD. This process may occur idiopathically or following a specific trigger such as cholecystectomy. There may also be an interplay with the gut microbiota, which has been reported to be significantly different in patients with severe BAD. Patients with suspected BAD are investigated in various ways including radionuclide imaging such as 75SeHCAT scans (though this is not available worldwide) and blood tests. However, other methods such as bile acid measurement in stool (either spot test or 48 hours samples) and urine tests have been explored. Importantly, delay in diagnosis and treatment of BAD greatly affects patient’s quality of life and may double the overall cost of diagnosis.

INTRODUCTION

Bile acid diarrhoea (BAD), sometimes also known as bile acid malabsorption or bile salt malabsorption (though this is not always the correct terminology), can result in symptoms such as bowel frequency, urgency, nocturnal defecation, excessive flatulence, abdominal pain and incontinence of stool. 1 This phenomenon was first described in 1967 and was initially known as cholecyctopathy. 2 It has since been classified primary BAD which is idiopathic and usually secondary to hepatic overproduction of bile acids due to interruption of the negative feedback loop rather than malabsorption in the ileum. 3 Secondary BAD is secondary to a terminal ileum resection or occurs in conditions such as Crohn’s disease or postradiation where the terminal ileum reabsorbs bile acids as part of the enterohepatic circulation, thus being a true malabsorption syndrome.

Emerging evidence over the last decade has shown that BAD is not as uncommon as previously perceived. Up to 30% of patients with diarrhoea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) have evidence of BAD as determined by 75SeHCAT testing. 4 Compared with controls, patients with IBS had lower 75SeHCAT values and higher C4 levels but similar fibroblast growth factor 19 (FGF-19) levels and more than 50% responded to bile acid sequestrant (colestipol). 5 In addition to patients with ileal disease (eg, Crohn’s disease and right hemicolecotomy.

Key points

► Idiopathic bile acid diarrhoea (BAD) is due to overproduction of bile acids (rather than malabsorption).
► The negative feedback loops involved in bile acid synthesis are interrupted in BAD but there is lack of data regarding what causes the interruption.
► There is increasing evidence of an interplay between the gut microbiota, farnesoid X receptor and fibroblast growth factor 19 in BAD.
► Tests for BAD such as SeHCAT are not available worldwide but alternatives include plasma C4 testing and possibly faecal bile acid measurement.
► Idiopathic BAD is due to overproduction of bile acids (rather than malabsorption).
where the terminal ileum is resected or HIV causing enteropathy), and BAD has also been reported in those following cholecystectomy, and those with postinfectious diarrhoea, as well as patients having metformin and those with pancreatic insufficiency (table 1). For those not responding to treatment, other additional causes should be sought, for example, bacterial overgrowth, pancreatic insufficiency or microscopic colitis, even if ⁷³SeHCAT testing has been abnormal. Another under recognised group are those with cancer especially those receiving pelvic chemoradiotherapy as >50% have BAD.

Bile acids are vital in the digestion and absorption of fat. They are synthesised from cholesterol in the liver and excreted in bile as primary bile acids (PBA) (cholic and chenodeoxycholic acids). Dehydroxylation then occurs to form lithocholic and deoxycholic acid and these are reabsorbed via the enterohepatic circulation. There is a negative feedback process regulating this, working through the nuclear farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and FGF19. Disruption of this process results in excessive bile acid loss to the colon which, among other factors are contributory to symptoms of diarrhoea.

### PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

#### Bile acid synthesis and enterohepatic circulation

Bile acids are synthesised in the liver from cholesterol into PBA (cholic acid (CA) and chenodeoxycholic acid (CDA)) by means of CYP7A1 (cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase) enzyme. Some are converted to lithocholic and deoxycholic acid by means of 7α-hydroxylase produced by intestinal bacteria and are then expressed into the duodenum to aid in digestion of fatty acids. The latter is achieved by emulsification and formation of micelles. The diameter of the micelles is 4–5 nm which allows them pass into the intermicrilloval spaces and reach the epithelial cells to allow for absorption. Approximately 95% of bile acids are reabsorbed from the ileum per cycle and the amount of cycles per day tends to vary between individuals, though it is estimated that bile acids actually undergo enterohepatic cycling about five times per day. The cumulative daily loss of bile acids in faeces daily is 200–400 mg, however, the total bile acid pool is between 3 and 4 g. The enterohepatic cycling process ensures that there are sufficient quantities of bile acids to handle dietary fat. Remarkably this process still remains efficient in most individuals even with the onslaught of high fat within the modern diet. Bile acid production may also be stimulated by dietary intake, such as ingestion of long-chain triglycerides possibly through FXR receptor.

#### Negative feedback loops

Bile acids in the terminal ileum are absorbed via apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter to activate ileal FXR, which induces transcription of FGF19. This is then released into the portal circulation and travels to the liver to activate hepatic FXR which acts on CYP7A1 via short heterodimer primer, thus decreasing bile acid synthesis. FGF 19 also binds to FGF receptor 4 (FGFR4) in the hepatocytes, which interacts with β-klotho (KLB) to inhibit CYP7A1 leading to a further decrease in bile acid synthesis via the classical pathway and activating hepatocyte FXR. Production of FGF19, therefore, inhibits BA synthesis by these two negative feedback loops. These processes are shown in figure 1.

#### Bile acid diarrhoea

The mechanism behind primary BAD relates to the negative feedback mechanism in the rate-limiting step catalysed by CYP7A1. When the negative feedback mechanism is disrupted (potentially due to impaired FGF19 signalling), as occurs in BAD, the activity of CYP7A1 is increased with resultant sixfold to sevenfold increase in the synthesis of bile acids. Bile acids have been shown to induce fluid secretion and increase mucosal permeability in the colon, cause high amplitude propagated contractions.

#### Putative mechanisms of symptoms in BAD

Patients with BAD usually present with diarrhoea, which may be persistent or intermittent, frequency, urgency, flatulence, abdominal pain, ocurnal defaecation and even faecal incontinence. There is no actual malabsorption in primary BAD, unlike that occurring due to terminal ileal disease such as Crohn’s. Rather, there is hepatic overproduction of bile acids due to interruption of the negative feedback loop regulating bile acid synthesis, resulting in a larger than normal proportion of bile acids entering the colon and exerting its effects. Beyond this the reason behind the development of BAD has not yet been determined, such as where the disruption of this negative feedback loop occurs. There has been a suggestion that there are different phenotypes of BAD, including possible associations in patients with familial hypertriglyceridaemia as well as potential functional genetic variation in the receptors such as FGFR4 and β-klotho.

It is known that the symptoms of BAD occur mainly due to the entry of a higher concentration of bile acids into the colon. Mekhjian et al proposed the theory of...
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induction of intra-luminal secretion of sodium and water. Others have proposed bile acids stimulating the colonic motility and defecatory reflex, and resultant mucosal damage leading to increased permeability.

There have been studies investigating bowel transit and its association with BAD. Some have suggested an accelerated transit both in the small bowel and in the distal colon. However, other studies suggest no association. Overall there is little evidence to support claims that symptoms of diarrhoea in BAD are due to changes in intestinal transit, except perhaps for a genetic variation associated with TGR5, a g-protein coupled receptor, (acting on intestinal motility) which increases transit times.

Gut microbiome

Gut microbiota affect bile acids by causing deconjugation, dehydrogenation and dihydroxylation of PBA in the distal small intestine and colon. This process causes a change in the bile acid pool composition therefore activating FXR and thus inhibiting bile acid synthesis. However, it is not known whether a change in the gut microbiota has any effect on symptoms of diarrhoea. The amount of secondary bile acids (mainly DCA) in the bile acid pool depends on the rate of formation and absorption via the colon, the colonic transit time and colonic pH. There has been a correlation between high DCA levels and gallstones.

Gut microbiome is involved in the digestion of complex carbohydrates to form short chain fatty acids (SCFA), such as acetate, butyrate and propionate. As both the levels of faecal bile acids in the colon and levels of SCFA are dependent on gut microbiota, any changes in faecal bile acids may affect SCFA and in turn effect the presence of diarrhoea. Patients with BAD also have a higher proportion of primary faecal bile acids, potentially due to decreased Bifidobacteria and Leptum species as well as an increased Escherichia coli in their gut microbiota. This may change the affinity of BAs to FXR and TGR5, thus leading to decreased FXR activation and to increased delivery of bile acids to the colon. Wang et al. have shown an increase in Bifidobacteria abundance with concurrent decrease in secondary faecal bile acids and resultant increase in acetate and propionate levels. The relationship between the increase of SCFA with the decrease in total faecal bile acids has not been explored. The gut microbiome is also heavily involved in the lipid metabolism and absorption, and thus, dysbiosis may affect the interplay between lipids and bile acid synthesis.

There have also been studies investigating the differences in faecal microbiota of different gut conditions. The faecal microbiota of patients with severe BAD (<5%) is significantly different to that of patients with diarrhoea secondary to IBS and that of patients with less severe forms of BAD.

DIAGNOSTIC METHODS

There are several methods by which BAD can be diagnosed, all of varying reliability outlined in table 2.

Nuclear medicine

The BSG guidelines state that patients with chronic diarrhoea should all be investigated to exclude BAD either with a $^{75}$SeHCAT scan where available or C4 given current evidence base. $^{75}$SeHCAT (Selenium-75 homocholic acid taurine test), first described in 1982, is used to determine the amount of bile acids retained after 7 days. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence diagnostic guidance report on $^{75}$SeHCAT in 2012 stated that given the prevalence of undiagnosed BAD, there is potential for patient and system benefits associated with $^{75}$SeHCAT investigations. The report also suggested that insufficient evidence exists to determine its cost-effectiveness and recommended further research to evaluate this technology and effects of treatment. Its 2016 review, made no changes in light of lack of new evidence on $^{75}$SeHCATs comparative diagnostic accuracy and 2020
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A systematic review and meta-analysis comprising 36 studies and 5028 patients on BAD biomarkers concluded that $^{75}$SeHCAT had a highest diagnostic yield to date (limited by study heterogeneity) with 25% previously diagnosed as having functional bowel disorders actually had primary BAD. $^{47}$

Selenium-75 homocholic acid taurine is a synthetic analogue of taurocholic acid, which is a natural conjugated bile acid, and behaves in the same way as bile acids except that it is resistant to deconjugation by intestinal bacteria. $^{48}$ It is ingested in the form of a capsule after an overnight fast, and a standard gamma camera is used to detect the baseline level 3 hours after ingestion. The scan is repeated after 7 days and the overall retention in the abdomen is measured. Retention values of 10%–15% are considered mild bile acid malabsorption, while 5%–10% is moderate bile acid malabsorption and less than 5% is severe bile acid malabsorption. $^{45}$ $^{49}$ However, its use is not widespread despite the ability to be used in any nuclear medicine department supporting a gamma camera and it is not licensed for use in the USA. $^{50}$ Sensitivity of $^{75}$SeHCAT testing is 96% with a specificity of 100% at 7 days. $^{51}$

$^{75}$SeHCAT may also predict response to therapy. Pooled data from 15 studies shows a dose–response relationship between the severity of malabsorption and the effect of treatment with a bile acid sequestrant: clinical response to colestyramine occurred in 96% of patients with <5% retention of SeHCAT, 80% at <10% retention and 70% at <15% retention. $^{52}$ In general, the lower the SeHCAT retention value the greater the likelihood of response to sequestrants.

Blood

C4 (7α-hydroxy-4-cholesten-3-one) levels may also be used to diagnose BAD, especially in the absence of $^{75}$SeHCAT. This is a marker of bile acid synthesis via CYP7A1, and baseline C4 levels are raised in patients with BAD secondary to impaired feedback of FGF19. $^{3}$ This indicates increased bile acid synthesis and thus increased levels of bile acids in the colonic lumen. $^{48}$ Patients with terminal ileal disease may also have increased C4 levels, as decreased reabsorption may increase synthesis. $^{53}$ $^{54}$ $^{55}$–$^{58}$ C4 levels correlate negatively with faecal bile acid excretion $^{59}$ and inversely correlated with FGF19 levels. $^{60}$ Fasting serum FGF19 levels are inversely correlated with C4 levels. C4 levels are usually higher in patients with BAD. Since FGF19 inhibits bile acid synthesis, decreased FGF19 levels may indicate BAD. FGF19 levels correlate well with $^{75}$SeHCAT results, with a negative predictive value of 82% for $^{75}$SeHCAT of <10%, sensitivity of 58% and specificity of 84% for a serum FGF19 level of <145 pg/mL. Its negative predictive value rises to 94% for $^{75}$SeHCAT <5%, $^{60}$ FGF19 levels change rapidly after meals, and there is also a natural diurnal variation. Thus like C4, standardisation would be required if FGF19 levels is to be used for

---

Table 2 Comparison of diagnostic methods of bile acid diarrhoea (BAD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic method</th>
<th>Favourable points</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{75}$SeHCAT</td>
<td>Well established</td>
<td>Involves radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Predicts response to treatment</td>
<td>Limited availability in certain countries for example, unavailable in USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>No radiation</td>
<td>Diurnal variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Fasting sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not widely available (in the UK as a research tool)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF19</td>
<td>No radiation</td>
<td>Diurnal variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Diurnal variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commercial assay available</td>
<td>Requires further validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecal bile acids/faecal metabolomics</td>
<td>No radiation</td>
<td>Cumbersome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48 hours sample collection versus spot test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not widely available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor patient compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>Easy collection</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not widely available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic trial</td>
<td>Easily available</td>
<td>Unreliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor response does not exclude diagnosis of BAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not cost-effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Delays diagnosis and affects patients quality of life.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FGF19, fibroblast growth factor 19.
diagnosis. FGF19 levels are not yet routinely used in the diagnosis of BAD.

Stool
Measurement of faecal bile acids may also be another diagnostic test for BAD if 75SeHCAT is unavailable. This is a measure of the total excess bile acids exiting the colon. Within the colon, a proportion of bile acids are absorbed and conjugated into secondary bile acids. The amount of primary faecal bile acids (CDA and CA) are found to be higher in patients with BAD and even correlate with frequency and consistency of stool. Patients with BAD have a higher stool weight. Total faecal bile acids of more than 2337 µmol/48 hours are diagnostic for BAD, however, elevated primary faecal bile acids may also be used as a diagnostic test, as >4% PBA are indicative of BAD since healthy volunteers usually only have about 0.02% primary faecal bile acids. A 4% cut-off may be used even when total faecal bile acids measure 1000 µmol/48 hours. However, this requires a 48-hour faecal collection taken during the last 2 days of a 4-day 100g fat diet, due to variation in dietary fat intake and consequently bile acid levels. Faeces needs to be homogenised, deconjugated and separated before performing either gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry and HPLC-mass spectrometry. This poses difficulty in patient compliance, labour-intensive analytical process and further complicated by the fact that there will also be diurnal variation in bile acid secretion. A pilot study has shown feasibility with a single spot faecal sample but requires further validation, as >10% PBA in a faecal spot sample had a 45% sensitivity and an 63% to detect 75SeHCAT value. Ninety-six per cent of patients with <5% retention responded to cholestyramine, 80% of patients responded at <10% retention and 70% at <15% retention. Colestipol has similarly been shown to improve symptoms of diarrhoea in patients with low 75SEHCAT.

Unfortunately, colestyramine and colestipol are often discontinued by patients as they are poorly tolerated due to the taste and texture of the resin powder. Another issue arises as patients find that while diarrhoea settles, they often have constipation, bloating, nausea and abdominal cramps. Colesevelam is another bile acid sequestrant which is often better tolerated than colestyramine and has also been shown to create a firmer consistency of stool in such patients, however, its use is unlicensed.

Some other medications have been tried, such as hydroxypropyl cellulose which was compared with colestyramine. There was no difference between patients on colestyramine and on hydroxypropyl cellulose who achieved clinical remission in 8 weeks, however, it was found that colestyramine was superior in decreasing the number of watery stools. Obeticholic acid has been shown to stimulate FGF19, thus reducing bile acid synthesis and causing symptom improvement related to stool frequency and stool form. It was also effective in patients with ileal resections, improving abdominal pain and urgency, though more so in patients with a shorter resected length. Dietary interventions may be used to improve symptoms. A low-fat diet has been shown to improve gastrointestinal symptoms in patients, with improvements shown in urgency, bloating, lack of control, bowel frequency, abdominal pain and nocturnal defecation. A combined approach using both
colesevelam and low fat approach has also been shown to be helpful. 81

Specific conditions causing BAD secondary to malabsorption will need treatment, such as antibiotics for small bowel overgrowth, 82 or steroids (mainly budesonide due to lack of systemic side effects) for microscopic colitis. 83

CONCLUSION
While part of the pathophysiology behind BAD has been elicited, there are gaps in knowledge as to what causes the disruption of the feedback loop in the case of idiopathic BAD. This may be limiting advances in diagnosis and treatment of the disease. 7 SeHCAT or C4 measurement is still the most commonly used methods for diagnosis though novel technologies such as e-nose are emerging. Spot faecal bile acid measurement may hold some potential but requires further validation and may prove difficult to use routinely in clinic. BAD is a treatable disease, however, the delay in diagnosis causes a significant increase in the diagnostic care cost as well as affecting the quality of life of patients. Further avenues for research should look into further defining the reasons behind the disruption of the negative feedback loops to target treatment.
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