COVID-19 and the challenges faced by gastroenterology trainees: time for capsule endoscopy training?
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The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on endoscopy services has been profound, triggering a strategic remodelling of service delivery and prompting recommendation of a nationwide senior led triage system in-line with BSG (British Society of Gastroenterology) and JAG (Joint Advisory Group on Gastrointestinal Endoscopy) guidance.1 The anticipated backlog of deprioritised cases during the COVID-19 pandemic represents a daunting challenge to those delivering endoscopy services, particularly given the recent evidence to suggest that for several cancers (including those of the colorectum, oesophagus and stomach), a 3-month delay to diagnosis is predicted to result in a reduction in long-term (10 years) survival of more than 10% in most age groups.1 2 The challenge is compounded further by the pandemic’s effect on training, and therefore the proportion of independent future endoscopists at time of certification of completion of training (CCT).

IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON TRAINING

COVID-19 has negatively impacted on conventional endoscopy training. Several strategies to address the challenges faced by trainees in the COVID-19 era have been proposed.3 The use of online resources and expert-delivered video endoscopy learning seminars have been suggested as mechanisms to support the delivery of non-technical skills. Educational opportunities may also be enhanced through the utilisation of video conferencing portals.3 Limitations have been placed on the number of personnel in the endoscopy room to protect both patients and staff.3 Whether trainee endoscopists are non-essential personnel in this context remains to be defined.3 Accreditation in diagnostic gastroscopy is required for CCT although colonoscopy is also pursued by most trainees.4 Traditionally, this was already challenging; half of gastroenterology trainees surveyed in 2018 lacked accreditation in colonoscopy as they approached CCT.5 There also exists a disparity between mandatory CCT requirements and the range of endoscopic skills that should equip a trainee for their consultant jobs. Biswas et al report low levels of confidence in one quarter of senior specialist trainees when asked about endoscopic management of gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding; the effect of COVID-19 on endoscopy training is likely to compound this further.6

In the medium-term to long-term there are opportunities to widen the use of capsule endoscopy (CE) procedures for diagnosis and to reduce demands on more invasive endoscopic services.7 The BSG suggest that in addition to small bowel capsule endoscopy (SBCE) this might include upper GI capsule endoscopy (UGICE) for suspected upper GI bleeding/surveillance of varices, as well as colon capsule endoscopy (CCE) in selected symptomatic patients.7 The potential for CCE to reduce the demands on endoscopy services has been explored through the Scottish Capsule Programme in which CCE undertaken in symptomatic faecal immunochemical test positive patients has been estimated to reduce the need for colonoscopy in up to 70% of those referred for investigation.8 In England, a CCE pilot, sponsored by NHS England and NHS Improvement (NHSE&I), is being rolled out in several centres.
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As COVID-19 remains prevalent, further strain on endoscopy and training is expected. We believe the proposed strategy to use CE to increase access to endoscopy services provides a unique training opportunity. With the widespread adoption of remote working, the use of video-conferencing platforms such as Microsoft Teams (which allow screen sharing and multiprofessional interaction) and the emerging role of CE in the deceleration and early recovery phases of the COVID-19 pandemic, training in CE should be more accessible than ever.

SMALL BOWEL CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY TRAINING (UK)

Pre-COVID-19, the JAG released a standardised training pathway for SBCE in recognition of rising demand and in line with BSG and European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy guidelines for the investigation for obscure GI bleeding, common GI conditions and surveillance of polyposis syndromes.9–11 Increasing demand was mirrored by trainees for formal training in CE.12 Figure 1 is an adaptation of the current JAG pathway and is the current model adopted by our CE training network.

The JAG approved SBCE certification pathway is based on the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy training guidance.13 This encompasses a SBCE training course, undertaking a minimum number (50) of cases, collecting both formative and summative directly observed procedures (DOPS) with local trainer sign-off, completion of e-learning modules and successful completion a SBCE knowledge-based assessment. There also exists a standardised training programme for CCE which has been advocated by the BSG and is again based on European and US standards. Training in UGICE is yet to be formalised.

TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES IN CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY (COVID-19)

Although COVID-19 has limited training opportunities in endoscopic procedures requiring face-to-face contact and tactile assistance, it potentially frees up time for alternative focus of training. Importantly, CE is not included in the current gastroenterology training curriculum. We do not propose that CE training should replace conventional endoscopy training; however, while access to endoscopy training remains limited, there are positive opportunities to train in CE.

Once endoscopy services recover, conventional endoscopy training should take priority; however, we anticipate that trainees will have a unique opportunity to shape their training at this juncture and that ongoing training in CE might well continue as an adjunct.

The ability to report the study and subsequently have training sessions for feedback remotely lends itself perfectly to the limitations faced by trainees in the current climate and could ultimately lead to pre-CCT accreditation in CE. The COVID-19 pandemic has created an environment in which the positioning of CE in investigation pathways may play a role in the recovery plan for endoscopy services. This will create a need for an appropriately trained workforce to meet the demands of a new service model. Existing technology can facilitate the delivery of CE training and it remains to be seen how artificial intelligence based models can facilitate endoscopy training through assisted lesion-detection.14

The lack of a CE service in a trainees’ base hospital need not be a barrier to accessing training using a virtual learning environment. Use of video-conferencing platforms across the NHS facilitates communication between geographically disparate sites willing to offer CE training.

CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY TRAINING NETWORKS

The endoscopy team in our trust have taken advantage of this unique training opportunity by developing a CE training network. Although this is in its early stages, the proposed model is demonstrated in figures 1 and 2. The Training Hub is led by a group of CE reporters (gastroenterology consultants) and comprises several gastroenterology registrars. The team meets weekly at a time previously occupied by conventional endoscopy training, and trainees are rostered to attend the relevant surrogate multi-disciplinary team (MDT) meetings to maximise output. We have chosen to focus
The structured approach to training also means that DOPs can be completed on the day of presentation and training portfolios can be kept up-to-date.

**EARLY OUTCOMES OF LOCAL TRAINING NETWORK**

The group-start approach to training has allowed for the direct comparison of findings between trainees at each weekly meeting. At first, the variation between reports was broad, with a significant degree of over-reporting/misinterpretation of normal findings, heterogeneity of findings in general, and disagreement in recommendations. Over time, reports have become more homogenous in structure, terminology, findings and recommendations. More mature management plans are being constructed and when disagreements arise, the consultant supervisors facilitate further discussion by taking control of the shared image display and providing structured, constructive feedback. Once the 20 preselected CE studies have been completed, we plan to move to a dual-reporting model whereby the trainee and consultant remotely report a live study and compare results.

To date, this has been a success and there are plans to publish outcome data once the desired number of SBCE studies have been successfully reported by our local training group in order to inform other units/networks who wish to adopt such a programme. We hope to inspire an upscaling of such networks to afford trainees in remote trusts the opportunity to continue to progress in their endoscopy training—our outcome data should inform this process further.
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